

## Checklist for Abstract evaluation

Content Scoring (max 4 points)			
Clinical Studies	Case Report (Series n<10)	Technology (New techniques, devices, drugs)	Various (Review, research, lab. tests)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Methodology</li> <li>➤ Study Design</li> <li>➤ Topic relevance</li> <li>➤ Sample size</li> <li>➤ Follow up</li> <li>➤ Importance of findings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Originality</li> <li>➤ Innovative</li> <li>➤ Instructional value</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Relevance</li> <li>➤ Problem/domain addressed</li> <li>➤ Innovation</li> <li>➤ Methodology used for evaluation</li> <li>➤ Importance of findings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Topic relevance</li> <li>➤ Reader's interest</li> <li>➤ Methodology</li> <li>➤ Study Design</li> <li>➤ Importance of findings</li> </ul>
Style Scoring (max. 2 points)			
Structure			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ <u>Coherent</u>: Title and objectives reflect the content; text is concise and remains focused on the subject area</li> <li>➤ <u>Comprehensive</u>: Purpose of the study, objectives, subjects and methods used, key results and main conclusions are clearly described</li> <li>➤ <u>Complete</u>: Sufficient information within the Abstract, accurate results description, study limitations, possible ethical aspects, further steps etc.</li> <li>➤ <u>Logical</u>: Well structured, rational argumentation, appropriate and connected conclusions</li> </ul>			
Writing style			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ <u>Clarity and simplicity</u>: Fluidly written, no unexplained abbreviations/terms used</li> <li>➤ <u>Accuracy</u>: No spelling or grammatical errors</li> </ul>			